

# **QA online survey results**

SESP – 3<sup>rd</sup> Meeting


1.-2.10.2012, Kaunas/Lithuania

## Objectives


- to assist SE sustainable development
- to successfully adapt the learning model and Strategy-Train content to the specific need of the target group
- to contribute to the development of Social Entrepreneurship
- to produce robust and innovative tools for social enterprises

## WP1: Project Management

Content of the project / Work package 1WP1: Project management /  
Lead partner: SZREDA (BG)



## Communication




## Positive experiences

- very well organised meetings with complete agenda covering all necessary topics
- the organized meetings are the most efficient method of communication
- good general communication between partners
- it was easy to be shared out the responsibilities among the partners
- goal orientated and positive decisions for the project
- a very positive experience is, since the beginning, the cultural difference inside the partnership
- active partners and well planned activities

## Improvement potentials

- more operative in the tasks implementation
- one of the partners' late replies for a couple of weeks due to internal miscommunication that was fixed in time
- feedback should be provided in a short period of time
- management should act more regularly and contact WP leaders on a regular basis
- motivate partners to be more active
- perhaps it will be interesting to have more often an informal communication among the partnership, by mail, to upload the working process in personal terms
- more intense communication within the consortium

## Cooperation



# Positive experiences

- good professional cooperation
- readiness for cooperation in order specific tasks to be done
- very clear and focused communication
- each partner has clear knowledge of his tasks and is completing them accordingly and in time
- very competent partners in their respective field of work
- possibilities for face to face meetings where the communication is more efficient.
- productive working atmosphere during meetings
- the different backgrounds of partners is an add value in cooperation terms




# Improvement potentials


- sometimes partners are too busy, working in various projects
- some late response to partners' communication from one of the partner due to internal miscommunication for couple of weeks but the problem was resolved
- language barriers
- sometimes it takes long time for feedback
- long silence after meetings - project management should give instructions and reminders to partners about every project issue (management, reports, work packages) more continuously
- it is a challenge to have different backgrounds in the consortium and it is a positive challenge to understand the different needs of each country reality and to have a good answer for that differences and find out a balance

## WP2: National researches

Content of the project / Work package 2WP2: National researches on the social entrepreneurship / Lead partner: SARETAS (LT)




## WP3: Learning model




## WP6: Dissemination

Content of the project / Work package 6WP6: Dissemination / Lead partner: SZREDA (BG)



## WP7: Evaluation

Content of the project / Work package 7 WP7: Evaluation / Lead partner: MERIG (AT)



## Most important SESP achievements:

- national researches
- content of modules
- pre-pilot tests
- Creation of well working partnership
- Good management of SESP project so far (noted in the Progress report as well by the NA)
- dissemination activities in BG
- improvement of content and platform of Strategy-Train
- the research produced in WP2 seems to be very useful and also the inputs of it for WP3 were very strong and important
- we were able to check the mail list of SEs and actualize it in terms to know effectively who keeps working and in what terms and which goals are being achieve and also to contact new SEs interested in SESP project

## Personal Motivation

